

Faculty of Science - Earth System Science (ESSC_UG)

Course and Teaching Evaluation Individual Report, Summer Term 2022-202.

香港中文大學 The Chinese University of Hong Kong C0	urse and Tea	ching Evalua	tion Individu	ual Report,	Summer Tern	n 2022-2023	3					
Course Code: ESSC2130-F01_1 Co	Course Title: Fundamental Geoscience Fieldwork							Teacher: Professor TAN Yen Joe				
Class Size: 9 No.	Returned: 7						Response Rate: 77.78%					
a. Faculty Affiliation:	ART 0.00% (0)	BAS 0.00% (0)	EDU 0.00% (0)	ERG 0.00% (0)	<i>LAW</i> 0.00% (0)	MED 0.00% (0)	SCI 100.00% (7)	SSC 0.00% (0)	OTHER 0.00% (0)			
b. Level	Undergradu	ate 100.00%	(7)	Postgraduate 0.00% (0)			Other 0.00% (0)					
c. Course Type	Major requi 14.29% (1)	red	Major electiv 71.43% (5)	ve	<i>Minor</i> 0.00% (0)	<i>Elective</i> 0.00% (0)	<i>U Core</i> 0.00% (0)	<i>N/A</i> 14.29% (1)				
d. Perceived Primary Language Spoken in Class	English 85.71% (6)	Cantonese 0.00% (0)	Putonghua 14.29% (1)	Others 0.00% (0)								
Perceived Percentage of Usage of English	51-60% 14.29% (1)	61-70% 14.29% (1)	71-80% 28.57% (2)	81-90% 14.29% (1)	91-100% 28.57% (2)							
Perceived Supplementary Language Spoken (can select more than one)	English 14.29% (1)	<i>Cantonese</i> 71.43%(5)	Putonghua 85.71% (6)	Others 0.00% (0)	<i>N/A</i> 0.00% (0)							
e. Time Spent on Course Outside Class (Hrs per week)	0-2.0 28.57% (2)	2.1-4.0 14.29% (1)	4.1-8.0 14.29% (1)	8.1-12.0 14.29% (1)	12.0 + 28.57% (2)	N/A 0.00% (0)						
f. Grade Self-Expected	A 0.00% (0)	A- 14.29% (1)	B + 28.57% (2)	B/B- 28.57% (2)	C+ or below 28.57% (2)	N/A 0.00% (0)						

			Mean	SD			Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagre	Slightly Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree		Invalid Answers	Mean by Self-Expected grade		
		Raw	Adjusted		Q1 Med. Q3		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	Total		A/A-	B+/B/B-	C+ or below
1	Presentation is clear	5.57			5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	_		6.00	5.50	5.50
		5.79			5.75 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	1	1	5	7				
2	Examples relevant to learning	5.57			5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	_		6.00	5.50	5.50
		5.68			5.00 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	1	1	5	7				
3	Teacher was enthusiastic	5.71			5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43			6.00	5.75	5.50
		5.71			5.00 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	2	5	7				
4	Class participation encouraged —	5.86			6.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71			6.00	6.00	5.50
	1 1	5.75			5.25 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	1	6	7				
5	Communication was effective	5.71			5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43			6.00	5.75	5.50
		5.82			5.75 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	2	5	7				
6	The course was interesting	5.86	6.00	0.35	6.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71			6.00	6.00	5.50
	The course was interesting	5.84	6.00		5.81 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	1	6	7				
7	The course was stimulating	5.71	5.83	0.45	5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43			6.00	5.75	5.50
	The course was still atting	5.71	5.83	0.45	5.00 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	2	5	7				
8	Subject knowledge is enhanced	5.71	5.83	0.45	5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43			6.00	5.75	5.50
0	Subject knowledge is chilaneed	5.80	5.96	0.39	5.56 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	2	5	7				
0	The course was well-organized	5.00	5.50	1.41	4.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14			6.00	5.75	3.00
9	The course was well-organized	5.11	5.63	1.37	4.75 6.00 6.00	#	0	1	0	1	1	4	7				
10	Classic series series	5.57	5.83	0.73	5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43			6.00	5.75	5.00
10	Clear learning outcomes	5.68	5.83	0.52	5.00 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	1	1	5	7				
11		5.00	5.33	1.20	4.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	0.00	57.14			6.00	5.50	3.50
	Appropriate assessment method	5.11		1.04	4.75 5.25 6.00	#	0	0	1	2	0	4	7				
	Appropriate workload amount —	4.71	5.00	1.16	4.00 4.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	0.00	42.86			4.00	5.50	3.50
12		4.82		1.13	4.00 4.75 6.00	#	0	0	1	3	0	3	7				
											%	#					
	Amount of workload									Too Much	100.00	1					
										Too Little	0.00	0					
13	Recommended readings useful	5.00	5.25		4.00 5.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	40.00			4.00	5.67	4.00
	Trevenimended readings desertar	4.75	4.81		1.00 1.25 1.50	#	0	0	0	2	1	2	5	2			
14	Content difficulty appropriate	5.29	5.50	0.88	4.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	14.29	57.14			5.00	5.50	5.00
	content anneatty appropriate	5.39	5.63	0.77	4.75 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	2	1	4	7				
	G 1.00 1										%	#					
	Content difficulty									Too Difficult	0.00	0					
		5.25		0.63	435 5 50 6 00	0./	0.00	0.00	0.00	Too Simple	0.00				4.00	5.67	0.00
15	Supported by library resources	5.25			4.25 5.50 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00		2	4.00	5.67	0.00
		5.06			4.06 5.13 6.00	#	0	0	0	1 25.00	1	2	4	3	2.00	5.33	0.00
16	Supported by IT resources	4.75			3.25 5.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00		2	3.00	5.33	0.00
	** *	5.19			0.81 1.25 1.50	#	0	0	1	1	0	2	4	3		0	= 00
17	Satisfaction with course	5.43			5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	_		6.00	5.50	5.00
		5.61			5.00 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	1	2	4	7				
18	Satisfaction with teacher	5.71			5.00 6.00 6.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43			6.00	5.75	5.50
		5.71			5.00 6.00 6.00	#	0	0	0	0	2	5	7				
а	enhanced understanding.	5.67			0.00 0.00 0.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67			6.00	5.50	0.00
		5.54			3.75 4.13 4.50	#	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4			
b	app. online materials	5.67			0.00 0.00 0.00	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67			6.00	5.50	0.00
	app. Onime materials	4.98	4.98		2.63 3.75 4.31	#	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4			
c	effective online interaction	5.67	5.67	0.47	$0.00\ 0.00\ 0.00$	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67			6.00	5.50	0.00
-	checuve online interaction	5.54	5.54	0.49	3.75 4.13 4.50	#	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4			

Notes

- 1. 'Invalid answers' include (a) non-response and (b) selection more than one category. All other data are considered valid answers.
- 2. For each numbered question, top row (not shaded) reports Course Statistics, bottom row (shaded) reports Departmental/Programme/School/College Statistics.
- 3. Definitions: (a) Raw Mean: mean based on all valid answers (b) Adjusted Mean: Mean with bottom 10% valid answers removed; (c) SD: Standard Deviation; (d) Q1: 25th percentile; Med.: Median; Q3: 75th percentile; (e) #: number of respondents selecting the respective category; (f) %: percentage of # divided by number of valid answers; (g) Mean by Expected Grade: Raw mean based on responses of students expecting the corresponding grade.
- All statistics, except adjusted means, are compiled based on valid answers.

No.	d) Under the limitation of the currently adopted system, what are some good features of the online teaching of this course? 在現行系統的限制下,本科的網上教學有甚麼優點?	e) Under the limitation of the currently adopted system, in what ways could the online teaching of this course be improved on? 在現行系統的限制下,本科的網上教學有甚麼能改善的地方?	Comments on the Course :	Comments for the Teacher:: (1) Prof. TAN Yen Joe
1			A wonderful course. I would like to take this course infinity times if I have the conditions. We can apply so many things we learnt in other course in this course. All the plans are made so well. We are able to communicate with other schools, professors and scholars. It is really amazing. The sights we saw in this course were all extraordinary and all the food we ate were so delicious. With cheap price, we can learn and play at the same time. I recommend every student to join this course. The things we learnt are not that difficult, I would say beginners can also join.	A wonderful and amazing professor. He is so kind and nice to talk. He really willing to join us, more like a friend. He could answer our questions so well. He also could use jokes while teaching. It is so interesting to learn from him. Even though this is his first time come to Taiwan do field trip, we could still feel his professional knowledge in his mind. He could answer all the questions so fast and gave the precise and useful observations on the site.
2			Mentioned in another octe	Yen Joe is a very supportive lecturer. He provided us detailed info regarding geophysics and seismology. His demonstration skills is good too, when he taught about load on fault and stickslip motion of fault, he used tangible models to explain, which helped my understanding.
3				Humorous and intellectual words. Strongly recommend no PowerPoint teaching as the professor has good skills in leading students to think
4				
6				
7				